

Public Document Pack



Monitoring Officer
Christopher Potter

County Hall, Newport, Isle of Wight PO30 1UD
Telephone (01983) 821000

Agenda

Name of meeting	CABINET
Date	THURSDAY 14 APRIL 2022
Time	5.00 PM
Venue	COUNCIL CHAMBER, COUNTY HALL, NEWPORT, ISLE OF WIGHT
Members of the Cabinet	Cllrs L Peacey-Wilcox (Chairman), D Andre, J Bacon, P Fuller, C Jarman, J Jones-Evans, P Jordan, K Love and I Stephens
	Democratic Services Officer: Sarah MacDonald democratic.services@iow.gov.uk

1. **Minutes** (Pages 5 - 16)

To confirm as a true record the Record of Decision of the meeting held on 10 March 2022.

2. **Declarations of Interest**

To invite Members to declare any interest they might have in the matters on the agenda.

3. **Report of the Cabinet Member for Planning and Community Engagement**

(a) Island Planning Strategy (Pages 17 - 30)



Details of this Cabinet meeting and other Council meetings can be viewed on the Isle of Wight Council's [website](#). This information may be available in alternative formats on request. Please note the meeting will be audio recorded and the recording will be placed on the website (except any part of the meeting from which the press and public are excluded). Young people are welcome to attend Council meetings however parents/carers should be aware that the public gallery is not a supervised area.

CHRISTOPHER POTTER
Monitoring Officer
Monday 4 April 2022

Interests

If there is a matter on this agenda which may relate to an interest you or your partner or spouse has or one you have disclosed in your register of interests, you must declare your interest before the matter is discussed or when your interest becomes apparent. If the matter relates to an interest in your register of pecuniary interests then you must take no part in its consideration and you must leave the room for that item. Should you wish to participate as a member of the public to express your views where public speaking is allowed under the Council's normal procedures, then you will need to seek a dispensation to do so. Dispensations are considered by the Monitoring Officer following the submission of a written request. Dispensations may take up to 2 weeks to be granted.

Members are reminded that it is a requirement of the Code of Conduct that they should also keep their written Register of Interests up to date. Any changes to the interests recorded on that form should be made as soon as reasonably practicable, and within 28 days of the change. A change would be necessary if, for example, your employment changes, you move house or acquire any new property or land.

If you require more guidance on the Code of Conduct or are unsure whether you need to record an interest on the written register you should take advice from the Monitoring Officer – Christopher Potter on (01983) 821000, email christopher.potter@iow.gov.uk, or Deputy Monitoring Officer - Justin Thorne on (01983) 821000, email justin.thorne@iow.gov.uk.

Notice of recording

Please note that all meetings that are open to the public and press may be filmed or recorded and/or commented on online by the council or any member of the public or press. However, this activity must not disrupt the meeting, and if it does you will be asked to stop and possibly to leave the meeting. This meeting may also be filmed for live and subsequent broadcast (except any part of the meeting from which the press and public are excluded).

If you wish to record, film or photograph the council meeting or if you believe that being filmed or recorded would pose a risk to the safety of you or others then please speak with the democratic services officer prior to that start of the meeting. Their contact details are on the agenda papers.

If the press and public are excluded for part of a meeting because confidential or exempt information is likely to be disclosed, there is no right to record that part of the meeting. All recording and filming equipment must be removed from the meeting room when the public and press are excluded.

If you require further information please see the council guide to reporting on council meetings which can be found at <http://www.iwight.com/documentlibrary/view/recording-of-proceedings-guidance-note>

All information that is recorded by the council is held in accordance with the Data Protection Act 2018. For further information please contact Democratic Services at democratic.services@iow.gov.uk

Arrangements for Submitting Oral Questions at Meetings of Council and Cabinet:

The front desk “opens” for public wishing to attend the meeting half an hour before the meeting.

In the circumstances that a member of the public wishes to ask an oral question, they should approach the front desk and notify them of their intention. They will be given a form to complete which details their name, town/village of residence, email address and the topic of the question (not the question in full, unless they wish to provide this).

These forms will be numbered in the order they are handed back.

The time for registering questions will be for a 20 minute period (up to 10 minutes prior to the start of the meeting). After that time expires the forms will be collected and given to the Chairman of the meeting.

If time allows after dealing with any written questions, the Chairman will then ask those who have submitted a form to put their question. These will be in the order they were received. As the subject matter is known, the Chairman should be able to indicate which member will reply. If time permits the Chairman may accept further questions.

The option to ask a supplementary question will be at the Chairman’s discretion.

Once the defined period of time allowed for questions has passed (and assuming the Chairman has not extended this) then all remaining oral questions are left unanswered.

No oral question will receive a guaranteed written response, unless the member responding indicates as such.



Record of decision

THE FOLLOWING DECISIONS WERE TAKEN ON THURSDAY, 10TH MARCH, 2022 BY THE CABINET

THE CALL IN PERIOD FOR THESE DECISIONS EXPIRES AT 5.00 PM ON MONDAY 21 MARCH 2022

Members of the Cabinet who were present

Cllrs L Peacey-Wilcox (Chairman), J Bacon, P Fuller, C Jarman, J Jones-Evans, P Jordan, K Love and I Stephens

Members also present(non-voting)

Cllrs D Andre, M Beston, G Brodie, S Ellis, S Hastings and P Spink,

Officers Present

John Metcalfe, Christopher Ashman, Simon Bryant, Steve Crocker, Laura Gaudion, Wendy Perera, Christopher Potter, Claire Shand, Roger Brown and Jayne Tyler

Confirmed as a true record of decisions taken

Leader of the Council

Agenda item	Minutes
Decision reference	115 – 2021/22
Decision Taken	<u>RESOLVED</u> That the minutes of the meeting held on 10 February 2022 be approved.

Agenda item	Declarations of Interest
Decision reference	116 – 2021/22
Decision Taken	Cllr Jones-Evans declared an interest in item 5 (a) relating to the Armed Forces Covenant as both her parents were military veterans. Cllr Jarman declared an interest in Item 5 (a) relating to the

	<p>Armed Forces Covenant, as his father was a military veteran, and also in Item 7 (b) relating to the Dementia Strategy as his father was under the care of the local authority and Wightcare.</p> <p>The Leader declared an interest in item 5 (a) relating to the Armed Forces Covenant as her husband was an ex veteran along with other family members.</p>
--	--

Agenda item	Public Question Time - Maximum 15 Minutes for Written Questions and 15 Minutes for Oral Questions
Decision reference	117 – 2021/22
Decision Taken	<p>A written question to the Leader had been submitted by Charlie Sherwood (PQ 04-22). A written reply would be sent.</p> <p>A written question was submitted by Mathew Ambrosini (PQ 05-22). The Cabinet Member for Regeneration, Business Development and Tourism gave a verbal response.</p>

Agenda item	Chairman's Announcements
Decision reference	118 – 2021/22
Summary of Discussion	<p>The Chairman announced that as part of National Women's Day the suffragette flag had been raised at County Hall. The Chairman had attended a meeting of the unions earlier in the week</p> <p>The Alliance group had donated money to the Ukraine appeal and had been helping to collate items to be sent to those affected. The group would continue to work with senior management to make preparations in the event that refugees were to be sent to the island. The IW College students had been loading up trucks to go to the Ukraine with items donated. The Alliance group would continue to wear flags of support.</p> <p>The Chairman had attended a meeting with a government minister regarding an upcoming announcement in relation to the marine industry. A press release would be issued shortly.</p> <p>The Chairman had been invited to speak to a group of</p>

	<p>mainly teaching staff regarding women in leadership roles. Thanks had been expressed to them for keeping children educated through the pandemic.</p> <p>The Chairman had attended a Safer Streets Meeting. Delighted that £450k for Hampshire and the Isle of Wight had been won for a Violence Against Women campaign. Better CCTV coming to the island to make people feel safe.</p> <p>The Chairman was expecting a new granddaughter and may soon be taking a short time out to spend time with her family.</p>
--	--

Agenda item	Armed Forces Covenant
Decision reference	119 – 2021/22
Summary of Discussion	The Leader stressed how important it was to support the Armed Forces, and she was pleased that the island now had a veterans' hub in Ryde. It would soon be the 40 th anniversary of the Falklands war and the Leader would be keen to mark the event in some way.
Decision Taken	Cabinet approves the signing of the Armed Forces covenant and that the Leader of the Council be given delegated authority to effect the signing.
Reason for the decision and corporate objective it aligns with	<p>The Armed Forces Lead Engagement Officer has been working with senior members of the council's HR team to ensure that the council's recruitment offer is an equitable offer for those leaving the Armed Forces or spouses and partners of serving members of the Armed Forces.</p> <p>People and Organisational Development Strategy V5 references the Armed Forces Covenant in the Recruitment and Retention section, to reflect that potential future employees from this area are supported to find ways into employment with the Council.</p>
Options considered and rejected	Cabinet does not give approval to sign the Armed Forces Covenant.

Agenda item	Holiday Activity and Food (HAF) Grant Recommendations Easter 2022
Decision reference	120 – 2021/22

<p>Summary of Discussion</p>	<p>Ten providers had come forward from community and voluntary groups to provide a variety of holiday activities for children across the whole of the island. Although it was primarily aimed at children in receipt of free school meals, any spare places could be offered to children in the wider community. Clarification was given that, although the provision in rural areas depended on providers coming forward, groups across the whole island had been approached.</p>
<p>Decision Taken</p>	<p>Cabinet approves the award of grants to the organisations identified in Appendix 1 to this report to a total value of £63,344.80.</p> <p>In the event that a provider is unable to fulfil the funded number of places or has to amend its offer in the light of Covid, it is recommended that the Cabinet Member delegates approval to the Director of Children’s Services to reallocate any underspent Easter HAF grant funding to ensure an Isle of Wight wide offer, within the grant allocation for Easter of £64,223. Any such reallocations will be determined in consultation with the Cabinet Member.</p>
<p>Reason for the decision and corporate objective it aligns with</p>	<p>The aim of the Holiday Activity and Food (HAF) programme is to support benefits-eligible free school meal children and young people to eat more healthily, be more active over the school holidays and have a greater knowledge of health and nutrition as well as be more engaged with school and other local services. The Corporate Plan 2021-2025 has a core value to engage with partners to make the most of integrated working, helping communities to help themselves and being a strong council team that delivers on these values.</p> <p>The HAF Programme will support the work of the community as the Council looks to rebuild the social, economic, and environmental wellbeing of the Island’s community. The families participating in HAF schemes are central to planning future delivery, which continues to evolve and improve due to ideas from feedback received. The Programme will continue to build links and work closely with parish and town councils to help and support them to empower and support their local communities.</p>
<p>Options considered and rejected</p>	<p>Not to award funds and decline to claim the funding being made available by the Department for Education for the</p>

	benefit of lower income and vulnerable children and families on the Isle of Wight.
--	--

Agenda item	Director of Public Health Annual Report
Decision reference	121 – 2021/22
Summary of Discussion	The effect of COVID19 on mental health and wellbeing was the focus of this year’s annual report, which contained a number of recommendations that had been drawn up in consultation with partners.
Decision Taken	To note the Annual Report of the Director of Public Health 2021. To approve the report recommendations made by the Director of Public Health as set out in the report.
Reason for the decision and corporate objective it aligns with	The 2012 Health and Care Act places a statutory duty on upper tier Local Authorities to improve and protect the health of their residents. The Director of Public Health has a statutory duty to produce an annual report about the health of the local population. The content and structure of the report is decided each year by the Director of Public Health, based on key issue of health and wellbeing that would benefit from a focused review. The requirement placed on Isle of Wight Council as the relevant local authority is to publish the report.
Options considered and rejected	None.

Agenda item	Isle of Wight Dementia Strategy
Decision reference	122 – 2021/22
Summary of Discussion	The strategy was the result of ongoing consultation over many months with colleagues and partners from the health and independent sectors. If approved, it would be underpinned with an action plan to ensure the strategy was workable for the future. It was noted that the strategy focused on family and unpaid carers and the lack of adequate respite care. Clarification was given that steps were being taken to recruit and train professionals to specialise in dementia. It was recognised

	<p>that nationally there was a challenge to recruit and retain staff in this area, and it was hoped to commission expert training in dementia care.</p> <p>More emphasis was to be put into prevention to enable more people to live happy, healthy lives.</p> <p>It was agreed that this was needed now for the island's ageing population and it was good to see that more respite care was planned. Thanks was expressed for the work in preparing the strategy.</p>
Decision Taken	Cabinet approves the system wide Isle of Wight Dementia Strategy, enabling the strategy to progress for approval by the Integrated Care Partnership.
Reason for the decision and corporate objective it aligns with	To provide the greatest opportunity for improved and jointly developed pathways of care and support for people living with dementia. Shared system priorities will lead to better outcomes for vulnerable local residents. It would also benefit from the ongoing oversight and scrutiny from the Policy and Scrutiny Committee for Health and Social Care and from voluntary sector partners to ensure effective implementation.
Options considered and rejected	<p>Option 2 - Cabinet does not approve the Isle of Wight Dementia Strategy. This would allow existing poor health and care pathways to remain. This option would not enable the system to develop and improve to meet the future dementia needs of the Isle of Wight.</p> <p>Option 3 - Cabinet suggests that all statutory organisations including the council develop their own dementia strategy. This option would fail to offer the opportunity for social care services to be aligned with the mental health transformation programme, leading to potentially disjointed services and a missed opportunity for shared system priorities.</p>

Agenda item	Isle of Wight Council Digital Strategy 2021-2026
Decision reference	123 – 2021/22
Summary of Discussion	The council's current strategy was coming to a natural end. The new strategy was the result of wide ranging internal and external consultation, and a framework had been established with a working group proposed to be set up to co-ordinate the programmes and plans within it. There were four key themes of Digital Island, Digital Council,

	<p>Digital Citizens and Digital Intelligence.</p> <p>ICT was ever changing therefore nothing was set in stone but would evolve with new legislation and new ways of working.</p> <p>The work done to prepare for agile working had been heralded a success as the island had not felt the challenges of other local authorities who had not been so well prepared for the lockdown and remote working.</p> <p>It was suggested that the heading at the top of pages 302 to 313 of the strategy 'Digital Island Potential Activities' be deleted so as to indicate that they were substantive, rather than potential items. This was accepted.</p>
Decision Taken	That Cabinet approves the Isle of Wight Council digital strategy 2022 – 2027 and establishes a programme board led by the portfolio holder for digital transformation. That board will be responsible for the establishment of the associated strategy action plan in line with stated outcomes and provide the strategic oversight of business case developments and resulting project delivery.
Reason for the decision and corporate objective it aligns with	This strategy is fundamental to the delivery of the council's agreed corporate plan 2021 – 2025 and its stated priorities. With digital technology being an enabler of change and improvement, it has the potential to contribute to every part of the council's vision to work together openly and with our communities to support and sustain our economy, environment and people.
Options considered and rejected	Option 2: To refer the digital strategy for further consideration.

Agenda item	Cabinet Member Announcements
Decision reference	124 – 2021/22
Summary of Discussion	The Cabinet Member for Planning and Community Engagement reported that, following a motion agreed previously at Full Council a letter had been sent and a response received from the Rt Hon Stuart Andrew, which had been circulated to Cabinet members this afternoon. The next day the first briefing relating to the Island Plan would be delivered to all members of the council with a further briefing on 25 March which would include representatives from IWALC. Following this, a report would be prepared and the plan shared with the Corporate

	<p>Scrutiny Committee before Cabinet would make recommendations to Full Council. Following this, there would be further consultation by the Planning Inspectorate. The council would ensure that residents were made aware of the further consultation.</p> <p>The Cabinet Member for Children’s Services, Education and Lifelong Skills reported that there had been an excellent response to the consultation on term times for the year 2023/24, which was due to end on 18 March. A report would be prepared for Cabinet on 12 May 2022.</p> <p>The Cabinet Member for Highways PFI, Transport and Infrastructure reported that despite what had been written in the press and on social media, the council had not walked away from mediation in relation to the floating bridge, it was continuing via the mediator.</p> <p>The Cabinet Member for Strategic Finance, Corporate Resources and Transformational Change reported that a letter had been received from Secretary of State Gove regarding the situation in Ukraine. It was confirmed that the council was ahead of the game and reassurance was given that none of the council’s pension investments were with Russia.</p> <p>A streaming service had been established at the crematorium, which tied in with the Commercial Strategy. When the strategy was considered by Cabinet within the next few months this would be examined more fully. Public praise had been received for the direct cremation service at £450, which it was believed would help many people with the current financial challenges.</p> <p>The Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care and Public Health reported that it was World Social Workers’ Day on 15 March and on 17th a day of remembrance was to be held to remember the social care workers who died during the pandemic.</p> <p>All care homes run by the local authority had received a CQC rating of ‘good’.</p> <p>A meeting had been held with Integrated Care Systems (ICS) which was progressing well, with a strategy being developed and moving forward. Thanks were expressed to the council’s Scrutiny Officer for his work at a national level with scrutiny and how it would work within the new ICS.</p> <p>The Cabinet Member for Community Safety, Digital</p>
--	---

Transformation, Housing Provision and Housing Needs reported that the council's Beta website was up and running in some areas. There would be a 'soft' launch for councillors in the near future. The installation of new Teams software and equipment was being rolled out across County Hall meeting rooms. The council's current telephony contract was coming to an end and replacement systems were currently being looked into. In other areas, praise was given to Regulatory Services staff for the way they had adapted to various other roles during the pandemic. The Coroner's Service and staff based at Jubilee Stores would shortly be moving to different premises. The Safer Streets funding would bring enhanced CCTV to Ryde. There had been a case of avian flu on the island, which was being investigated; bird owners were asked to be cautious and follow the council's website for updates and information..

The Cabinet Member for Environment, Heritage and Waste reported that there had been a serious fly tipping incident in Porchfield. The culprit had been found and issued with a fixed penalty notice. Details of how to report fly tipping would be going out to the public via the press. The fly tipping Task and Finish group was still in hand but had been slower than hoped due to all the other work going on. It was hoped that within the next month or so batteries would be able to be collected on waste day to avoid having to take them to supermarkets for recycling, and following on from that, it was hoped that small electrical appliances would also be collected.

A Tree Strategy was to come forward in early summer, in line with the climate strategy. For every tree lost, two would be planted. A Climate and Environment Board was soon to be set up.

Compton Bay had been voted the second best location for stargazing in the UK. This fitted well with the dark skies policy.

Encouragement was given for completion of the Beaver consultation, which had been delivered to the public and which included consideration of flooding issues.

The Cabinet Member for Regeneration, Business Development and Tourism reported on a number of issues, which included a meeting with the Solent Local Enterprise Partnership, exploring the value of culture and creativity. 36 practitioners had signed up to the Island Creative Network. It was suggested that on the island 30 per cent of

	<p>the workforce was in the creative industry.</p> <p>Other items of note included trying to bring back a Post Office to Newport and the re-launch of the Green Impact Programme to improve environmental sustainability for businesses.</p> <p>The consultation on proposals for the future use of Newport guildhall would finish at the end of the week, and the Newport Harbour consultation was due to finish on 21 March.</p> <p>Good progress was being made with the Branstone Farm development. Fifteen new homes were nearing completion and interest had been expressed in the industrial units.</p> <p>Government SALIX funding would be use to mitigate the energy price rises faced in council buildings. Medina Leisure Centre was to utilise more solar power by May 2022.</p> <p>Visit Isle of Wight had facilitated a recent visit by Ainsley Harriott and Grace Dent for a future TV programme around island food venues.</p>
--	---

Agenda item	Consideration of the Forward Plan
Decision reference	125 – 2021/22
Summary of Discussion	The Forward Plan was noted and no amendments were made.

Agenda item	Members' Question Time
Decision reference	126 – 2021/22
Summary of Discussion	<p>Four written questions had been received from Cllrs Hendry (MQ 06-22), Spink (MQ 09-22), Pitcher (MQ 10-22) and Brodie (MQ 07-22)</p> <p>Cllrs Hendry and Spink were not present and their questions would receive a written response.</p> <p>Cllr Pitcher was present and the Leader read out his question and the written response.</p> <p>Cllr Brodie was not present and the Leader read out his question. The Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care and</p>

	Public Health gave a verbal response, a copy of which would be sent to Cllr Brodie following the meeting.
--	---

This page is intentionally left blank



Purpose: For Decision

Cabinet report

Date **14 APRIL 2022**

Title **PUBLISHING THE ISLAND PLANNING STRATEGY FOR PUBLIC REPRESENTATION AND SUBMISSION TO THE PLANNING INSPECTORATE**

Report of **CABINET MEMBER FOR PLANNING AND COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. A new local plan, the draft Island Planning Strategy, has been prepared to replace the Island Plan Core Strategy. The draft Island Planning Strategy has been prepared taking into account the significant level of public consultation undertaken to date. For the Island Planning Strategy to be adopted and be used it must go through formal stages as directed by statute.
2. This report is seeking a decision from the Cabinet to agree the draft plan attached at appendix 1 for recommendation to Full Council, for Full Council to agree the draft plan and to agree to publish the attached Island Planning Strategy for a period of representation and subsequently to submit the plan to the Planning Inspectorate for an independent examination.
3. Adopting the Island Planning Strategy will give the Council new planning policies to determine planning applications and, should it be adopted with the proposed level of housing, it will enable the Council to demonstrate a five year land supply, meet the minimum threshold of the Housing Delivery Test and have an up-to-date plan. Achieving these three things will mean the Council would no longer have to have regard to the tilted balance of the policy presumption under paragraph 11(d) of the National Planning Policy Framework in favour of sustainable development as set out in national planning policy when determining planning applications due to the inability to demonstrate a five year land supply. There would, of course, be no change to the requirement to apply the statutory test for determining planning applications set out in section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and section 70(2) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended.

RECOMMENDATION

- | |
|---|
| <ol style="list-style-type: none">4. To agree the draft Island Planning Strategy in appendix 1 to recommend to Full Council to publish the draft Island Planning Strategy for the regulation 19 period for representation at the earliest practical opportunity and following |
|---|

the end of the period for representation submit the required information to the Planning Inspectorate.

5. To recommend to Full Council to delegate any final editorial and presentational changes to the Island Planning Strategy prior to publication and submission, to the Director of Regeneration in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Planning and Community Engagement, so long as they do not materially alter the intention of the version agreed by Full Council.

BACKGROUND

6. Section 19(1B)-(1E) of the [Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004](#) sets out that each local planning authority must identify their strategic planning priorities and have planning policies to address these.
7. Through the [National Planning Policy Framework](#), the Government has set out that the planning system should be genuinely plan-led. Succinct and up-to-date plans should provide a positive vision for the future of each area; a framework for addressing housing needs and other economic, social and environmental priorities; and a platform for local people to shape their surroundings.
8. The Isle of Wight Council's current plan, the Island Plan Core Strategy, was adopted in 2012 (before the introduction of the National Planning Policy Framework). The Council is in the process of preparing a new local plan, the Island Planning Strategy (IPS), to give it the policies it needs to deal with the challenges, such as the delivery of affordable housing and climate change, it now faces. The Government has set a deadline for all local authorities to have an up-to-date plan in place by the end of 2023.
9. Since 2018 the Council, as local planning authority (LPA), has determined planning applications under the statutory test having regard to the tilted balance of the policy presumption in favour of sustainable development as set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). This is because the LPA has not been able to demonstrate a five-Year Land Supply (5YLS) and is below the Housing Delivery Test (HDT) threshold for delivery of new homes.
10. Adopting the IPS with a new, lower, housing number will reset the 5YLS and HDT calculations, and this is modelled to show that the Council will then be able to demonstrate a 5YLS and meet the minimum HDT threshold and therefore not have to have regard to the NPPF's tilted balance of the policy presumption in favour of sustainable development.

The stages of local plan preparation

11. The [Town and Country Planning \(Local Planning\) \(England\) Regulations](#) prescribe the stages a local plan must go through. Because these stages are set out in regulations, each stage will often be referred to by the relevant regulation.

12. The [Town and Country Planning \(Local Planning\) \(England\) Regulations](#) prescribe the stages a local plan must go through. Because these stages are set out in regulations, each stage will often be referred to by the relevant regulation.

Regulation 18 Preparation of a local plan

Whilst the regulations do not prescribe it, the Council has undertaken public consultation at this stage (twice), to ensure maximum public engagement in the preparation of the plan.

Regulation 19 Publication of a local plan

This report seeks authority to publish the draft plan for a period of representation. The plan that is published for consultation at Regulation 19 stage should be the plan that the Council intends to submit to the Planning Inspectorate for examination.

Regulation 22 Submission of documents and information to the Secretary of State

The draft plan, evidence and representations received at the regulation 19 stage will be submitted to an independent Planning Inspector, on behalf of the Secretary of State. The Council must submit what it considers to be a sound plan.

Regulation 24 Independent examination

This will be undertaken by an independent Planning Inspector, on behalf of the Secretary of State. There is the opportunity for public participation in the examination for those who submitted representation at the regulation 19 stage.

Regulation 25 Publication of the recommendations of the appointed person

This is the Council receiving the Planning Inspector's report.

Regulation 26 Adoption of a local plan

The decision whether to adopt the local plan, based on the recommendations of the Planning Inspector, is a decision for Full Council.

13. As set out above, at the regulation 19 stage the Council should publish what it believes is a sound plan. For a plan to be sound it must meet the tests of 'soundness' contained in paragraph 35 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

14. The tests of soundness are:

- a) **Positively prepared** – providing a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed [housing] needs; and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet [housing] need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development;
- b) **Justified** – an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence;

- c) **Effective** – deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and
 - d) **Consistent with national policy** – enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in this [National Planning Policy] Framework and other statements of national planning policy, where relevant.
15. To be positively prepared a plan must be “a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area’s objectively assessed needs”. The NPPF expects strategic policy-making authorities to follow the standard method for assessing local need. However, the [Planning Practice Guidance](#) asks whether the use of the standard method for strategic policy making purposes is mandatory. In response to that question it states:
- “No, if it is felt that circumstances warrant an alternative approach but authorities can expect this to be scrutinised more closely at examination. There is an expectation that the standard method will be used and that any other method will be used only in exceptional circumstances”.
16. Paragraph 61 of the NPPF states
- “To determine the minimum number of homes needed, strategic policies should be informed by a local housing need assessment, conducted using the standard method in national planning guidance – unless exceptional circumstances justify an alternative approach which also reflects current and future demographic trends and market signals. In addition to the local housing need figure, any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas should also be taken into account in establishing the amount of housing to be planned for”.
17. The issue of whether or not to pursue a position based on exceptional circumstances is something that has been suggested in the responses to the regulation 18 stage consultations.
18. The number of new homes proposed in the plan is an average of 479 per year. This represents a minor reduction from the previously consulted upon figure of 486, which itself is a reduction from the 641-figure included in the first Regulation 18 version of the plan. Following the publication of the latest affordability ratios in late March 2022, the standard method currently calculates the Isle of Wight’s housing figure to be 730 per year.
19. This housing requirement planned for is lower than the identified need as calculated by the standard method due to the restricted ability of the island housing market to build houses (as evidenced by historic delivery rates over the past 20 years and a wide range of barriers that exist).

20. There is no one cause of the deliverability issues mentioned above that can easily be 'fixed' and it is a combination of factors, all broadly consequent upon the fact that the Island is, by virtue of being an island, a localised market with particular economic challenges which hamper the delivery of higher levels of housing. These market issues are compounded by the difficulties of securing funding for increased levels of affordable housing, which in other neighbouring authorities may allow for additional housing to be provided. It is important to note that the multi-layered nature of the causes of under-delivery on the Island are unique.
21. If the Council were to argue exceptional circumstances and calculate its own housing number, it would still, as set out in paragraph 61 of the NPPF, be required to reflect current and future demographic trends and market signal. It is the current and future demographic trends (such as in-migration to the Island) that some advocating arguing exceptional circumstance suggest we should not be taking into account.
22. Officers have received external QC advice on whether pursuing exceptional circumstances or accepting the standard method, but evidencing why it cannot be delivered would be considered to be a sound approach. The advice received was that accepting the standard method, but evidencing why it couldn't be delivered would have a greater chance of success than basing a position on arguing exceptional circumstances.
23. Officers consider that the draft Island Planning Strategy, in appendix 1, is a sound plan and is therefore capable of being published for representation under regulation 19.

The content of the draft Island Planning Strategy

24. As a result of the previous public and stakeholder consultation in 2019, 2021 and ongoing evidence base updates the IPS has been revised, with some of the headline changes summarised below:
 - Three new strategic policies covering:
 - The Isle of Wight Biosphere - given the fundamental importance that the IW Biosphere designation plays in showcasing the environmental benefits of the island and the associated key role that the environment will play in the islands ability to achieve net zero, strategic policy BIO1 sets out how this designation must be considered by all development coming forward on the island;
 - Affordable Housing – setting a clear definition of what 'affordable' housing is on the island rather than using the Government definition and encouraging Town & Parish Councils to undertake local Housing Needs Surveys to help inform affordable housing provision (Policy AFF1);

- Infrastructure - to ensure that infrastructure provision is supported across the island and that development makes an appropriate contribution (which could be physical or financial, or both) strategic policy INF1 will ensure that new infrastructure is provided or existing is upgraded;
 - Revisions to policy C11 (sustainable construction of new development) to set new thresholds and require new development to meet Net Zero targets;
 - A new policy requiring planning permission for change of use from Class C3 residential to Short term let holiday accommodation for properties being in such a use for over 140 days a year, but with support for such applications only within the identified core tourist accommodation areas;
 - A review of all the potential housing sites through the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment, resulting in a number of potential housing sites being re-classified and revisions to some proposed site allocations including reduced yields to allow for Biodiversity Net Gain provision;
 - Minor reduction in the annual housing number from 486dpa to 479dpa as a result of including the latest completed monitoring year (2020/21) into the housing requirement calculation; and
 - A number of sites that were identified for allocation in the early stages of plan preparation (in 2019) have been granted planning permission since the last consultation in 2021. This has resulted in the proportion of homes planned for in the IPS that already have planning permission increasing from 15 per cent to 30per cent.
25. The draft IPS continues to include policies designed to address a number of issues facing the Island and to give a clear steer on how the Council wishes to move forward. These draft policies include:
- EV2 requiring at least 10% biodiversity net gain from new development;
 - EV7 protecting the Local Green Spaces suggested by the community with the same level of protection as Green Belt;
 - EV10 protecting 11 different gaps between settlements across the island from development;
 - EV12 Support for Dark Skies park status;
 - C15 requiring major development to consult with the local community in advance of submission;
 - G2 focusing growth within settlement boundaries and no in principle support for development immediately adjacent the 'hard' settlement boundaries;
 - G5 requiring developers to build out planning permissions to agreed programmes;
 - H9 supporting the principle of development on all brownfield land;

- E7 supporting a range of commercial uses within town centres to increase vitality and footfall; and
 - T5 requiring electric car charge points in all new major residential and commercial development
26. The draft IPS also includes proposed allocations of land for a range of uses, including new homes. Appendix 2 of this report shows the sites that are proposed for allocation that do not already have planning permission. It is through the allocations the Council can demonstrate how it will deliver the plan and also allows the change in approach to much 'harder' settlement boundaries.
27. The draft IPS has also been subject to an Integrated Sustainability Appraisal (ISA) and a Habitats Regulation Assessment (HRA). Both of these environmental based assessments reviewed all of the policies within the draft IPS and where relevant proposed wording changes to increase scores on the ISA scoring matrix, strengthen policies and remove ambiguity, and these changes have been incorporated in the latest version of the IPS.

CORPORATE PRIORITIES AND STRATEGIC CONTEXT

Provision of affordable housing for Island Residents

28. Once adopted the IPS will be a key document in helping the Council plan for and deliver affordable housing for Island residents. It will set the thresholds for the amount of affordable housing a development site will need to provide. It also recognises that a new home that is classified as affordable under the NPPF does not, in practical terms, equal an affordable home in the context of the Island. The IPS therefore proposes policies that allow for deeper discounting of new homes to make them Isle of Wight affordable (which evidence shows ranges from 70 to 50 per cent of market value, depending on the type of property) and supports a new affordable housing product called first homes, which also allow for deeper discounts to first time buyers.
29. The IPS also proposes land allocations for new homes, and all the proposed allocations are of sufficient scale to require onsite delivery of affordable homes. The adoption of the IPS will provide a greater level of certainty to developers and affordable housing providers that sites are available and expected to deliver affordable housing.

Responding to climate change and enhancing the biosphere

30. The Island's UNESCO Biosphere status is based upon the interaction between humans and the environment, and the Council's current planning policies have shaped that interaction and helped to secure Biosphere status.
31. The IPS seeks to go further and embed the 17 UNESCO sustainable development goals where relevant. The IPS includes a dedicated policy recognising the Biosphere status and the role it now has in shaping the Island's future.
32. The IPS will play a key role in helping the Council achieve its Mission Zero Climate and Environment Strategy, given the policies of the IPS will guide not only the

location of new development, but alongside other regulatory regimes also the way it is built and uses energy.

33. The Mission Zero Climate and Environment Strategy recognises the role the IPS will need to play in delivering the intended outcomes. The IPS contains policies covering renewable energy, heat projects, smart grid infrastructure and energy storage systems. There are also policies promoting sustainable and active transport across the Island. Through its policies the IPS will also be critical in ensuring new development is sustainably built.
34. The IPS rightly protects the natural environment, especially those areas of highest quality and will implement the principles of biodiversity net gain. There is also further opportunity for overlap between the Mission Zero Climate and Environment Strategy and the IPS through the Local Nature Recovery Strategy, which the Council will produce under the Environment Act (2021).

Economic Recovery and Reducing Poverty

35. If adopted the IPS will have a positive effect in reducing the numbers of residents, and especially children, who are living in poverty (particularly those living in absolute poverty). The proposed policies of the IPS have been written to help secure the Council's aspirations as set out in a range of other plans and strategies. The land use policies of the IPS will directly and indirectly help deliver:
 - the council's regeneration ambitions
 - sustainable economic growth
 - development of opportunities for investment
 - skills development

Impact on Young People and Future Generations

36. Publishing the IPS for the regulation 19 period of representation is a key step towards adopting the IPS. Once adopted the IPS will have a time horizon of 15 years, and will play a significant role in shaping the Island over that period and beyond. The way in which we plan for and manage development now will have impacts on young people and future generations, and these impacts will be interrelated across the various domains of young people's lives from housing, employment or training, health and the environment.

Corporate Aims

37. The [Corporate Plan 2021 - 2025](#) sets out the administration's key areas for action, aspirations and key activities. Once adopted the IPS and its planning policies will play a key role in helping the Council achieve in its three key areas for action:
 - A. Provision of affordable housing for Island residents
 - B. Responding to climate change and enhancing the biosphere
 - C. Economic recovery
38. The specific aspirations and key activities relevant to the IPS are:

- Champion the adoption of a new Island Plan based on housing targets evidenced to meet Island needs and compliant with legislation for adoption by September 2023.
- (33) Accelerate the completion of the Island plan. The process to be followed will include meaningful engagement with residents and town and parish councils.
- Complete all Island consultation on draft Island plan by and seek adoption through the formal process by September 2023.

39. There are also multiple aspirations that directly and indirectly link to the IPS and its policies, and these include:

- (16) Place the health and wellbeing of residents at the centre of all we do
- (18) Promote the building of affordable supported social retirement housing to ensure residents maintain their independence for as long as possible
- (22) Support Island wide digital connectivity to support Island businesses and residents
- (23) Housing that is created must be housing fit for purpose. We will prioritise truly affordable housing for Island residents, meaning housing that is not just affordable to rent or buy but affordable to live in and maintain
- (24) Wherever possible bring appropriate empty and derelict buildings back into use for affordable housing
- (35) Complete key regeneration projects to drive employment, skills and inward investment
- (38) Use available powers to deal with long term empty or derelict buildings that mar our seafront and town centre areas
- (39) Focus on regeneration our High Street and visitor economy to assist post COVID-19 recovery and growth
- (40) Promote people-oriented place planning for town centres
- (41) Support and enhance our biosphere and AONB areas. Support the active management and development of biosphere status and secure dark sky status
- (43) Commit to develop sustainable transport options with a focus on infrastructure to encourage active travel
- (44) Promote the increased use of renewable energy in all sectors
- (45) Embed both the biosphere and the climate change strategy into policy, including the Island plan.

CONSULTATION

40. As previously set out, a draft local plan must go through formal stages which include public consultation.
41. The first draft IPS was published for consultation under regulation 18 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations between 3 December 2018 and 25 February 2019. Around 9,340 individual comments were received from around 3,800 respondents.
42. As a result of the consultation a number of changes were made to the draft IPS following undertaking further evidence base work. These changes included not planning for the number of new homes calculated using the Government's standard method calculation and the removal of the suggested new garden communities.

Because these changes were considered so significant, a second 'regulation 18 consultation' was held.

43. This second regulation 18 consultation was undertaken from Friday 30 July until Friday 1 October 2021, and over 2,800 individual comments were received. Whilst this is a significant number of comments it is considerably less than the number received for the first consultation. Planning staff presented at over 20 separate meetings and together with drop-in sessions held by the Cabinet Member for Planning and Community Engagement, over 600 people attended these events to hear about the draft IPS and have the opportunity to ask questions.
44. The draft IPS in front of Councillors has experienced a clear evolution between the first draft and the current version, and this change was strongly shaped by the consultation responses.
45. It should be noted that there will also be further opportunity for public engagement in the process, as those who make representation on the regulation 19 version of the plan can request to participate in the public examination once the plan is submitted.
46. As set out in the Planning Inspectorate's [Procedure Guide for Local Plan Examinations](#), the plan that is published for consultation at regulation 19 stage should be the plan that the LPA intends to submit to the Planning Inspectorate for examination. This is a key premise of delivering an efficient examination timetable.
47. Section 20(2) of the PCPA specifically states that the LPA must not submit the plan unless they think it is ready for independent examination. Having considered the regulation 19 consultation responses, the LPA should only submit a plan if they consider it to be sound and there will not be long delays during the examination because significant changes or further evidence work are required.
48. Should the regulation 19 period for representation responses identify any issue(s) that is so fundamental as to affect the soundness of the IPS, or the change would represent a significant Island-wide benefit, officers would advise the Cabinet Member for Planning and Community Engagement and the Cabinet and a further cabinet decision may be required. Any changes required to address the issue(s) and make the plan sound would be brought before Full Council for consideration and agreement to publish for public consultation and submission, along with the rest of the plan to the Planning Inspector.
49. Given the nature of the issues that the IPS covers there are likely to be representations at the regulation 19 stage that object to the IPS. Councillors will be mindful that there is a difference between whether the plan is sound in the planning sense and whether members of the public agree with it.

SCRUTINY COMMITTEE VIEW

50. A previous iteration of the draft IPS has been considered by a specially convened task and finish group, which operated under specific terms of reference. The task and finish group's report was considered by the Corporate Scrutiny Committee on 15 December 2021. The Cabinet Member for Planning and Community Engagement has also provided a formal response to the task and finish group's recommendations.

51. The task and finish group's report was also treated as a formal comment on the draft IPS, and has influenced the content of the IPS moving forward and the content of some of the supporting material that will accompany the next iteration of the IPS.

FINANCIAL / BUDGET IMPLICATIONS

52. There are no direct financial / budget implications in agreeing to publish the IPS for the regulation 19 period of representation. The budget is already in place to cover the costs of both the consultation and the examination process following submission.

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

53. The process of preparing a local plan is set out in [Town and Country Planning \(Local Planning\) \(England\) Regulations](#). Regulation 19 sets out that prior to submitting a local plan to the Planning Inspectorate (on behalf of the Secretary of State) the local authority must undertake certain actions. The publication of the draft plan and accompanying documentation for a period of representation is one of these actions.
54. It is the responsibility of the Cabinet to formulate the draft plan and make a recommendation to full council as to the final form of the plan. Full Council's role is to then either accept in full the Cabinet's recommendations in final form, or refer the matter back to the Cabinet for further consideration.
55. If Full Council has objections to the plan as presented, then it must inform the Executive Leader of those objections and give instructions requiring the Cabinet to reconsider it. The Cabinet may then submit a revised plan with reasons for consideration by Full Council or inform Full Council of Cabinet's disagreement to Full Council's objections, again with reasons.
56. Full Council cannot vote through a different version of the plan until the statutory cooling off process has been followed. It is only at a subsequent meeting of Full Council that it can overrule the Cabinet's recommendations.

EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY

57. The council as a public body is required to meet its statutory obligations under the Equality Act 2010 to have due regard to eliminate unlawful discrimination, promote equal opportunities between people from different groups and to foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it. The protected characteristics are: age, disability, gender reassignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex and sexual orientation.
58. The draft IPS has been subject to an equality impact assessment (appendix 3) and the results can be summarised that no negative impacts on the protected characteristics are expected from the policies within the document. The Island has an ageing population and a high percentage of people with mobility problems and a greater likelihood for health problems, which in turn is placing increased demands on services. Through its policies the council wants to ensure that future development contributes to creating environments that are accessible to all

generations (and associated health issues) and by doing so improve residents' health and wellbeing.

59. Negative impacts are also not expected to arise from the act of consulting on the draft IPS, and the consultation will provide the opportunity for any issues relating to equality to be raised and considered during the examination in public. Should the IPS be found sound and be considered for adoption by Full Council it will be subject to a final equality impact assessment at that stage.

PROPERTY IMPLICATIONS

60. Once adopted the IPS will contain planning policies that may be relevant to future plans for Isle of Wight Council owned property and land.
61. A number of the proposed allocations are owned by the Council. If they remain as allocations and the Island Planning Strategy is adopted there will be budgetary and place making implications on the Council. The inclusion of Council owned sites is seen to be a commitment by the local authority to its regeneration aspirations and its place making agenda.

SECTION 17 CRIME AND DISORDER ACT 1998

62. It is considered that decision of whether to publish the draft IPS or not will not have a direct implication in relation Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 (as amended by Police and Justice Act 2006). However, the IPS does include policies relating to design and 'designing out crime' in new development.

OPTIONS

63. It is considered that the following options are available to Councillors:
1. To agree the draft Island Planning Strategy in appendix 1 to recommend to Full Council to publish the draft Island Planning Strategy for the regulation 19 period for representation at the earliest practical opportunity and following the end of the period for representation submit the required information to the Planning Inspectorate.
 2. To recommend to Full Council to delegate any final editorial and presentational changes to the Island Planning Strategy prior to publication and submission, to the Director of Regeneration in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Planning and Community Engagement, so long as they do not materially alter the intention of the version agreed by Full Council.
 3. To not agree the draft Island Planning Strategy in appendix 1 and;
 4. To refer the draft Island Planning Strategy along with suggested changes, and the reasoning for them, back to the officers for consideration and depending on the nature and scale of the changes additional environmental assessment work and subject the changes to a further six week period of consultation prior to the regulation 19 stage.

RISK MANAGEMENT

64. Publishing the draft IPS for the regulation 19 period for representation is the next step to an independent Planning Inspector finding the plan sound and the Council adopting it. To minimise the risk of the plan being found unsound by the Planning Inspectorate the Council has prepared an appropriate evidence base and the draft IPS in appendix 1 is considered by officers to be sound and therefore capable of being submitted to the Planning Inspectorate following the regulation 19 period for representation.
65. By taking the approach of accepting the standard method housing number, but then assembling a robust evidence base that demonstrates why such a figure is not deliverable on the Island helps to mitigate against the plan being found unsound. It is the view of officers, which is supported by QC advice, that this approach has a greater likelihood of success over not accepting the standard method and arguing 'exceptional circumstances'.
66. It is recognised that there may be changes to national planning policy in the intervening period between the regulation 19 stage and adoption, which could impact on the approaches set out in the IPS. Should the policy landscape change the Council may wish to not proceed with the IPS as written and prepare an alternative local plan. It may also consider adopting the IPS, if it is found sound, to effectively preserve its position and begin a review of the plan (or component parts of it) to take into account new national policy.
67. If the draft Island Planning Strategy is referred back to officers to make changes required by Cabinet, the changes will need to be assessed to determine whether the accompanying Integrated Sustainability Appraisal (ISA) and a Habitats Regulation Assessment (HRA) will also need updating. Depending upon the scale of the changes a further six-week public consultation period will be required prior to undertaking the regulation 19 stage. In such an event, once completed the revised IPS will be brought back to the Cabinet and if agreed onto Full Council for consideration and agreement to publish for the regulation 19 period for representation and subsequent submission to the Planning Inspectorate.
68. Should the IPS not be progressed in a timely manner it will mean that the Council have to continue to determine planning applications using the tilted balance of the presumption in favour of sustainable development for longer. It will also mean that the Council may not achieve the Government's expectation of local authorities having an up-to-date plan by 2023, although the risk is unknown as the Government have not indicated what, if any, sanctions would be put in place on the local authority if this is not achieved.

EVALUATION

69. Successfully adopting the IPS will give the Council new policies to use in the way it considers planning applications and give the Council an up-to-date local plan. Publishing the draft IPS for the regulation 19 period of representation is a significant step on this journey and towards the outcomes expressed in the Corporate Plan and other key corporate plans and strategies.
70. Once adopted the IPS will reset the Council's housing numbers and it is forecast that the Council will then be able to demonstrate a 5-year land supply and meet the

minimum threshold of the Housing Delivery Test. Under these circumstances the Council will no longer have to have regard to the tilted balance of the presumption in favour of sustainable development under paragraph 11(d) of the National Planning Policy Framework due to not being able to demonstrate such a supply.

71. To not publish the draft IPS for the regulation 19 period of representation and then submit the plan would push back the Council's ability to move away from having to have regard to the tilted balance of the policy presumption in favour of sustainable development. It would also mean that the certainty a local plan can give to developers, affordable housing providers and communities would also be delayed.
72. Hence the recommendation of this report is for Cabinet to recommend the IPS as drafted to Full Council and to undertake the regulation 19 stage period for representation.

APPENDICES ATTACHED

73. [Appendix 1](#) – The draft Island Planning Strategy
[Appendix 2](#) – Policy H2 and IPS Appendix 2, sites allocated for housing (without planning permission) – map booklet
[Appendix 3](#) – The Equality Impact Assessment of the draft Island Planning Strategy

Contact Point: Ollie Boulter, Strategic Manager for Planning & Infrastructure, ☎ 821000 e-mail oliver.boulter@iow.gov.uk

CHRISTOPHER ASHMAN
Director for Regeneration

CLLR PAUL FULLER
*Cabinet Member for Planning and Community
Engagement*